

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/819,152	03/27/2001	Craig A. Paulsen	IGT1P026/P-256	2667
22344 7550 06/03/2008 BEYER WEAVER LLP P.O. BOX 70250			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, DAT	
OAKLAND, CA 94612-0250			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3714	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/819 152 PAULSEN, CRAIG A. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit DAT T. NGUYEN 3714 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03/08/2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-11.13-15.30-44 and 55-69 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11.13-15.30-44 and 55-69 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CS)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/07/2008

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Amilication

Application/Control Number: 09/819,152

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 03/07/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

This office action is responsive to amendments filed on 03/07/2008 in which applicant amends claims 1, 30 and 55 and responds to claim rejections. Claims 1-11, 13-15, 30-44 and 55-69 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skil in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11, 13-15, 30-44 and 55-69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al. (US 6,110,041) in view of Walker et al. (US 6,077,163) and Microsoft®Windows®95.

The rejection as stated in the previous office action dated 12/13/2007 is maintained and incorporated herein. Application/Control Number: 09/819,152

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding the amendments to the claims, Walker'041 explicitly teaches automatically updating user preference account information based on the user's playing characteristics as the user plays the wagering game at the machines (abstract).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/07/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments drawn towards the alleged lack of the prior art in meeting the limitations of a "simulated game presentation is for allowing a user to determine the effects of the different game feature settings on the game presentation for the game of chance..." The examiner respectfully disagrees.

The limitation cited by applicant is an intended use limitation in an apparatus claim that does not further structurally limit the invention and so the limitations after the word "for" are considered to be intended use limitations. It has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Exparte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The applicant further goes to individually attack the references in a piecemeal analysis. In response to applicant's piecemeal analysis of the references, it has been held that one cannot shot non-obviousness by attacking references individually where, as here, the rejections are based on combinations of references. Windows teaches the ability for users to configure the appearance of their computer desktops and once they are done they may preview the changes prior to accepting them. Walker teaches some

Application/Control Number: 09/819,152 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

preferences and simulation. When the two references are combined, they would produce a game wherein the player is able to change the appearance options of the game and preview the appearance changes as taught by Windows. For a more detailed discussion of the combination as well as response to argument, please see the previous rejection under "response to arguments" as well as the statement of rejection which is incorporated herein.

Finally, regarding the alleged patentability of the amendments to the claims, please see the rejection above, Walker'041 explicitly teaches such limitations.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAT T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert E. Pezzuto can be reached on (571)272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/819,152 Page 5

Art Unit: 3714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/John M Hotaling II/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3714

Dat Nguyen